WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

			v.			
	Nohel	Cristob	oal Valdez-Pineda	Case Number: _	16-1125MJ-009-PHX-JFM	
			_	12(f), a detention hearing has oth, as applicable.)	been submitted to the Court. I conclude	
	-		and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant is in this case. Sometime of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant			
×		•	ance of the evidence the defendathis case.	ant is a serious flight risk and	require the detention of the defendant	
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonm	ent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(er the defendant had been co	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
				ned in section 921), or any oth	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive ner dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S pending	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense g trial for a federal, state or local	described in finding 1 was co offense.	mmitted while the defendant was on release	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	will rea	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish sonably assure the safety of (an utted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that tother person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has	
			A	ternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probabl	e cause to believe that the de	fendant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor vid	tim under section	2	
	(2)	The de condition	fendant has not rebutted the pre ons will reasonably assure the ap	sumption established by findir opearance of the defendant as	ng 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.	

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,07,2425.$

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 22 Filed 02/29/16 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report.				
THE U	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Fredhal Services Report.				

 $^{^{3}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 22 Filed 02/29/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

7 lichelle

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED this 29th day of February, 2016

Page 3 of 3